CANADA
PROVINCE OF NEW BRUNSWICK
COUNTY OF SAINT JOHN

IN THE MATTER OF THE BUILDING THAT IS LOCATED AT

149 Broad Street, SAINT JOHN, N.B. (PID number 0604)

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

I, Catherine Lane, of Saint John, N.B., Make Oath And Say As Follows:

- I am employed by The City of Saint John in its Growth and Community Development Services Department. I have personal knowledge of the matters herein deposed except where otherwise stated.
- 2. On February 31, 2019, at approximately 3:34 pm, I posted a copy of the attached Notice of Common Council Hearing Letter, marked Exhibit "A" to the front door of the building that is located at 149 Broad Street, Saint John, N.B.

RACHEL A VAN WART COMMISSIONER OF OATHS MY COMMISSION EXPIRES DECEMBER 31ST, 2022 Catherine Love



Buildings and Inspection Services / Services d'inspection et des bâtiments

Phone / Tél: (506) 658-2911 Fax / Téléc: (506) 632-6199

February 27, 2019

Case Number: 13-674

VIA EMAIL

Mr. Lang Lee 38 William Street Guelph, ON N1E 5E3

Dear Mr. Lee.

This is Exhibit 'A"
Referred to in the Affidavit of
Casherine Lowe

Sworn before me at the City of Saint John, New Brunswick the 24 day of Tebruary 2

Commissioner of Oaths

NOTICE OF COMMON COUNCIL HEARING

RE:

149 Broad Street, Saint John, New Brunswick

PID# 00000604

Please be advised that the Notice to Comply that was issued for the above noted property pursuant to the *Saint John Unsightly Premises and Dangerous Buildings and Structures By-law* on August 15, 2017 has expired. The conditions outlined in the Appeal Decision pursuant to the September 29, 2017 Substandard Properties Appeal Committee Hearing by Brian Maude have not been met. Enclosed for your reference is a copy of the Substandard Properties Appeal Committee Decision dated September 29, 2017.

Therefore, the City of Saint John will be pursuing further enforcement action. City Staff will be attending the Common Council meeting scheduled on March 25, 2019 at 6:00 p.m. to recommend that the building be demolished as it has become a hazard to the safety of the public by reason of dilapidation and by reason of unsoundness of structural strength. Please be advised that at this meeting, you can present evidence that the building is not dilapidated or structurally unsound; however, note that this meeting will be your only opportunity to do so.

The Table below details the conditions outlined in the Substandard Properties Appeal Committee Decision and an update as to if these conditions have been met, many of which, have not.

Substandard Properties Appeal Committee Decision conditions as per page 7	•
application and repair plan in sufficient	Building Permit 17-1385 was applied for on December 14, 2017 for interior demolition only. A permit application for renovations has not been received by this department.



P.O. Box 1971 Saint John, NB Canada E2L 4L1

C.P. 1971 Saint John, N.-B. Canada E2L 4L1

Buildings and Inspection Services / Services d'inspection et des bâtiments

Phone / Tél: (506) 658-2911 Fax / Téléc: (506) 632-6199

b.) The Appellant shall provide monthly progress updates to the City, on the last day of each calendar month, from the date of this Decision until the permit application and repair plan are approved by the City, or until 31 January 2018, whichever is later.	had been hired and were working on the project with plans in place.
c.) The Appellant shall complete all repair-related remedies by 31 January 2019.	Incomplete. An exterior inspection was completed on February 26, 2019. Partial interior demolition has been completed. A large pile of debris remains in the left side yard.
d.) Between the date of approval of the permit application and repair plan, and the completed of all repair-related remedies on or before 31 January 2019, the Appellant shall provide progress updates to the City on the following dates: i. 30 April 2018 ii. 31 July 2018 iii. 31 October 2018 iv. 31 January 2019	 March 2018 – An architect was hired and crews were scheduled to begin work, however they were waiting for better weather. May 2018 – Investors visited from out of town and City staff met with them to explain enforcement procedures. June 2018 – The investors backed out, but City staff was assured that there was new funding for the project. August/ September 2018 – A new investor discussed project with City planning and building staff. They did not pursue the project further.
e.) From the date of this decision until the completion of all repair-related remedies, the Building is to remain secure at all times.	Numerous windows were found open and broken during the February 26, 2019 inspection.
f.) From the date of this decision until the completion of all repair-related remedies in the event the Building sustains a fire, break-ins or other acts of vandalism, the City shall have the right to take enforcement action as necessary.	No fires were sustained. No Police reports on file.
g.) In the event the Building significantly deteriorates any further, the City shall have the right to take enforcement action as necessary.	Conditions have worsened, given the partial interior demolition.

Buildings and Inspection Services / Services d'inspection et des bâtiments

Phone / Tél: (506) 658-2911 Fax / Téléc: (506) 632-6199

Please do not hesitate to contact me at 658-2911.

Regards,

Rachel Van Wart, EIT Technical Services Officer

Enclosure

RECEIVED
SEP 2 9 2017

Brian Maude

Suite 300 – 85 Charlotte Street Saint John, New Brunswick

E2L 2J2

Friday, 29 September 2017

Office of the Common Clerk, City of Saint John P.O. Box 1971 Saint John, NB E2L 4L1

Attention: Jonathan Taylor, Common Clerk

RE: Saint John Substandard Properties Appeal Committee

Appeal File No. 2017-03

Lang Lee & Ilir Loka v. The City of Saint John Hearing: Friday, 29 September 2017, 10:00 a.m.

Dear Mr. Taylor,

Enclosed please find my Decision and Statement of Account for services rendered with respect to the above-noted matter. Kindly attend to providing a copy of the Decision to the Parties.

Thank you for allowing me to assist you in this matter. Should you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me and, I remain,

ATT

Yours truly,

Brian Maude

Direct line: 506-658-3020 E-mail: <u>brian.maude@fcnb.ca</u> FILE: 2017-03

IN THE MATTER OF AN APPEAL pursuant to section 190.01(2) of the *Municipalities Act*, R.S.N.B., 1973, c.M-22 and pursuant to section 26(1) of the *Saint John Minimum Property Standards By-Law*, No. M-14

BETWEEN:

LANG LEE & ILIR LOKA

Appellants

- and -

THE CITY OF SAINT JOHN

Respondent

DECISION OF THE SAINT JOHN SUBSTANDARD PROPERTIES APPEAL COMMITTEE

Parcel Identifier Number: 00000604

Parcel Address: 149 Broad Street, Saint John, New Brunswick

Registered Owners: Lang Lee & Ilir Loka

Hearing date: Friday, 29 September 2017

The Parties

- 1. The Appellants, Lang Lee and Ilir Loka, (the "Appellants") were the registered owners of a property located at 149 Broad Street in Saint John, New Brunswick (the "Property"), having the Property Identification Number of 00000604. As a result of a property transfer registered on 20 September 2017, Mr. Lee is the sole remaining registered owner of the Property. However, both individuals appeared in person at the hearing and made submissions.
- 2. The Respondent, the City of Saint John, was represented by Rachel Van Wart, EIT, a Technical Services Officer, and Amy Poffenroth, P. Eng., a By-law Enforcement Officer (together, the "City Officers").

The Property

- 3. Pursuant to subsection 190.01(3) of the New Brunswick Municipalities Act, R.S.N.B. 1973, c. M-22, as amended, (the "Act"), a Notice to Comply was issued by the Municipal Officer of the City of Saint John on 15 August 2017 (the "Notice to Comply"). The Notice to Comply indicated that the Property was in contravention of the Saint John Unsightly Premises and Dangerous Buildings and Structures By-Law, By-law number M-30 (the "By-Law"), and subsections 190.01(1), 190.01(1.1) and 190.01(2) of the Act.
- 4. The Notice to Comply was accompanied by an Inspection Report prepared by Ms. Van Wart, which was reviewed and concurred in by Ms. Poffenroth (the "Inspection Report").
- 5. The Inspection Report details a number of issues with the Property that bring it in contravention of the By-Law and the Act. The Property houses one large building (the "Building") and one shed. In general, those issues were:

- An accumulation of junk, rubbish and refuse on the property;
- Fallen, cracked, loose and spalling bricks on the Building's exterior;
- Deteriorated and, in one section, fallen, roof fasciae;
- A dilapidated shed on the Property;
- One failed retaining wall; and
- Numerous issues with the Building's interior which include, but are not limited to, extensive water damage, crumbled wall and ceiling plaster, a sloping and partially dropped floor, mould and fungi growth on the walls and ceilings, and areas which have been vandalized.

The Appeal

The Appellant's Submissions

- 6. The Appellants were served with the Notice to Comply by posting it on the exterior of the Building on 17 August 2017, and also by personal service on 22 August 2017. As the Appellants attended at the hearing, service is not at issue.
- 7. The Appellants filed a Notice of Appeal on 1 September 2017. Their grounds of appeal were that they required more time in order to complete the plan they had for the Property.
- 8. A Notice of Appeal was issued on 11 September 2017. The Appeal was scheduled for 10:00 a.m. on 29 September 2017, at the City Hall Building. Again, service is not at issue as all parties attended the hearing.
- 9. As required by Section G, paragraph 4 of the *Provisions Governing the Procedure and Operation of the Saint John Substandard Properties Appeal Committee*, I confirmed with the Appellants that the Notice to Comply was indeed that which the Appellants sought to appeal.

- 10. The Appellants made their representations first. They indicated that they were in the process of finalizing their business plan in relation to the Property. Their plan is to convert the existing Building constructed, according to the Appellants, in 1878 into a Nursing Home. This, they submit, they can accomplish with financing (which, they submit, they are securing), an architect's plan (which, they submit, they are obtaining) and some additional time from the City in which to complete their endeavour.
- 11. The Appellants accepted all of the findings contained in the Inspection Report, with the exception of the finding that the Building is structurally unsound.
- 12. The Appellants concluded by indicating that they had discussed their building plan with the City and contend that they had come to an agreement with regard to the execution of that plan.

The Respondent's Submissions

- 13. The Respondent was represented by the City Officers. Ms. Van Wart presented a detailed file, in 12 sections, outlining the procedural history of the Appeal as well as the findings made by the Respondent over the course of its examination of the Property.
- 14. Ms. Van Wart presented a number of photographs which support the findings made in the Inspection Report. The Building looks to be in quite a dilapidated state.
- 15. As to the issue of structural soundness, the Inspection Report details that the Building is structurally unsound for the following reasons (set out on page 4 of the Inspection Report):

- 1. The brickwork on the exterior of the Building is structurally unsound and causing other elements of the Building to fail. Loose bricks are visible near the main entrance where a large section of the roof has already fallen onto the front staircase and landing. Falling pieces of brick or other elements of the Building could cause serious damage to anyone on the property as well as pedestrians passing by on the sidewalk. The stairs leading to the main entrance of the Building are anchored to exterior brick that shows signs of stress and could also cause failure.
- 2. The stairs leading to the front, left, and rear entrances of the Building are rusted and structurally unsound. Missing stair treads, rust and deterioration of the bricks where the stairs are anchored to the Building illustrate that the stairs are not safe for travel.
- 3. The retaining walls on the property are structurally unsound and hazardous to the public. The concrete retaining wall to the left of the Building is leaning and has failed with sections of concrete and cinder blocks piled near the public sidewalk. There are unsecure stones dislodging from the stone wall at the front of the property. These stones and broken cinder blocks pose a tripping hazard to individuals entering the property and pedestrians using the sidewalk in the high density urban area.
- 4. The interior of the Building is structurally unsound, specifically the front room that has failed and caved into the basement. Extensive water damage throughout the Building to key structural members contributes to the structural unsoundness of the Building. The flooring in the basement is soft and deflects under load indicating improper structural support.
- 16. The Appellants, in their submissions, disputed the Inspection Report and contended that the Building is sound. They offered nothing additional in support of their contention other than a commentary on some of the internal wall framing.
- 17. Where the evidence of the Respondent differs from that of the Appellants on the issue of the Building's structural soundness, I prefer the evidence of the Respondent.
- 18. The Respondent confirmed the Appellants' contention that it had come to an agreement regarding the execution of the Appellants' plan for the property. However, the Respondent indicated that it would only do so subject to a certain number of conditions.

- 19. The Respondent outlined its conditions as follows:
 - The permit application and repair plan are to be submitted by the Appellants and approved by 31 January 2018;
 - The Building is to remain secure at all times;
 - In the event the Building sustains a fire, break-ins or other acts of vandalism, the City reserves the right to take enforcement action as necessary; and
 - In the event the Building significantly deteriorates further, the City reserves the right to take enforcement action as necessary.
- 20. Furthermore, the Respondent set out two deadlines:
 - 31 January 2018: the date by which the Appellants were to have their permit application and repair plan both submitted and approved (the "First Deadline"); and
 - 31 January 2019: the date by which the Appellants are to have their repair-related remedies completed (the "Second Deadline").
- 21. In the event these deadlines are not met, the Respondent reserved the right to proceed with enforcement action.
- 22. Finally, the Respondent indicated that:
 - between the time of the hearing and the First Deadline, it required monthly progress updates from the Appellants; and
 - once the permit is issued, it required quarterly progress updates from the Appellants until the Second Deadline.

23. As indicated, the parties appeared to agree on this course of action.

Decision and Order

- 24. The Notice to Comply set out two remedial actions available to the Appellants, and the dates by which either of those options was to occur:
 - the demolition of the Building, clean-up of the property and related remedies must be complete, or plans and permit applications for repair-related remedies, must be submitted within 60 days of being served with the Notice to Comply; and
 - the repair-related remedies must be complete within 180 days of being served with the Notice to Comply.
- 25. However, as indicated above, the Parties have agreed upon an alternate course of action, accompanied by alternate time frames.
- 26. Additionally, as Mr. Loka is no longer a registered owner of the Property, I will refer only to Mr. Lee as the Appellant, and the order shall apply to whomsoever is the registered owner of the Property.
- 27. These proceedings are governed by A By-Law Respecting Standards for Maintenance and Occupancy of Buildings and Premises, By-law number M-14 of the City of Saint John. Subsection 26(4) of that By-law reads as follows:
 - 26(4) On an appeal, the committee of council may confirm, modify or rescind the notice or extend the time for complying with the notice.

- 28. In light of the agreement between the Parties, I will modify the Notice to Comply, extend the time for complying with the Notice to Comply, and issue the following Decision:
 - a) The Appellant shall submit his permit application and repair plan in sufficient time to allow for approval by the City by 31 January 2018;
 - b) The Appellant shall provide monthly progress updates to the City, on the last day of each calendar month, from the date of this Decision until the permit application and repair plan are approved by the City, or until 31 January 2018, whichever is later;
 - c) The Appellant shall complete all repair-related remedies by 31 January 2019;
 - d) Between the date of approval of the permit application and repair plan, and the completion of all repair-related remedies on or before 31 January 2019, the Appellant shall provide progress updates to the City on the following dates:
 - i. 30 April 2018;
 - ii. 31 July 2018;
 - iii. 31 October 2018; and
 - iv. 31 January 2019
 - e) From the date of this decision until the completion of all repair-related remedies, the Building is to remain secure at all times;
 - f) From the date of this decision until the completion of all repair-related remedies, in the event the Building sustains a fire, break-ins or other acts of vandalism, the City shall have the right to take enforcement action as necessary; and

- g) In the event the Building significantly deteriorates any further, the City shall have the right to take enforcement action as necessary.
- 29. The Notice to Comply is therefore modified as set out above and otherwise remains in full force and effect.

DATED at Saint John, New Brunswick this 29th day of September 2017.

Brian Maude Chairperson Saint John Substandard Properties Appeal Committee