

Final Report

Saint John Transportation Strategic Plan Travel Demand Forecasting Model Development

Nove.



Prepared for City of Saint John by IBI Group

November 19, 2018

Table of Contents

1	Intro	oduction	1
2	Mod	el Structure	1
	2.1	Architecture	1
	2.2	Road Network	3
	2.3	Zone System	6
	2.4	Superzone System	6
	2.5	Model Input Data	9
3	Trav	el Demand Model Development	10
	3.1	Trip Generation	10
	3.2	Trip Distribution	11
	3.3	Time of Day	11
	3.4	Mode Choice	12
	3.5	Auto Trip Assignment	12
4	Mod	el Calibration and Validation	14
	4.1	Screenline Analysis	14
	4.2	Base Model Adjustments	15
	4.3	Origin-Destination Matrix Estimation	15
5	Sum	imary	21

Table of Contents (continued)

List of Exhibits

Exhibit 2.1: Model Architecture	2
Exhibit 2.2: Road Classification	
Exhibit 2.3: Existing Road Network	
Exhibit 2.4: Road Classifications	5
Exhibit 2.5: Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ) System	7
Exhibit 2.6: Superzone System Map	
Exhibit 3.1: Trip Production Estimation Results	10
Exhibit 3.2: Trip Attraction Estimation Results	11
Exhibit 3.3: Time of Day Factors	12
Exhibit 3.4: AM and PM Peak Hour Factors	12
Exhibit 4.1: Screenlines Used for Model Validation	14
Exhibit 4.2: Modelled AM Volumes	16
Exhibit 4.3: Volume Capacity (V/C) Ratio	17
Exhibit 4.4: Screenline Analysis for AM Peak Hour	18
Exhibit 4.5 Screenline Analysis for PM Peak Hour	19
Exhibit 4.6: Spot Validation for AM Peak Hour	20
Exhibit 4.7: Spot Validation for PM Peak Hour	20

1 Introduction

The Saint John Model is a travel demand forecasting model developed as part of Phase 2 of MoveSJ, the City of Saint John's Transportation Strategic Plan. A travel demand forecasting model is a tool used in transportation planning to estimate traffic demand based on where people live, work, shop and go to school. A model can be used to assess city-wide transportation question such as the impacts of population and employment growth, the impacts of changing travel behaviour and use of alternative modes, the impacts of new transit services, the impacts of building new roads or widening existing roads, and the impacts of closures or reduced lanes.

As the City of Saint John continues to grow over the next 25 years, in line with PlanSJ and City's Neighbourhood Plans, the Saint John Model will assist the City in managing growth by identifying potential transportation capacity issues, testing alternative solutions to address those issues, and developing a preferred transportation system to serve future travel needs in Saint John.

The Saint John Model is customized to the City of Saint John. It was developed using observed travel characteristics of residents of Saint John and surrounding areas collected in the 2015 household travel survey conducted in Phase 1 of MoveSJ and traffic count data provided by the City and the Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure.

This report describes the development of the Saint John Model as a state-ofpractice forecasting tool that is sensitive to socio-demographic changes, transportation policy, and new infrastructure.

2 Model Structure

2.1 Architecture

The travel demand model developed follows the four-stage model structure common to most urban transportation forecasting models:

- trip generation the trip productions and attractions from and to each zone;
- trip distribution the pairing of trip origins and trip destinations;
- mode choice the mode(s) used to travel from origin to destination; and
- trip assignment the routes used from trip origin to trip destination.

The model architecture is illustrated in Exhibit 2.1.

Exhibit 2.1: Model Architecture



2.2 Road Network

The existing road network was built from GIS road network data from the City of Saint John. The network was updated and cleaned for directionality, lanes and road classification. Eight road classifications were used, ranging from Freeways to Rural, as shown in Exhibit 2.2 with the associated network attributes for each class.

Exhibit 2.2: Road Classification

Classification Type	Class	Capacity Per Lane (vphpl)	Free Flow Speed (km/h)
Freeway	1	1,800	60-70
Freeway Ramp	2	1,400	30
Provincial Highway	3	1,200	60
Major Arterial	4	900	50
Minor Arterial	5	700	50
Collector	6	650	30
Local Street	7	500	30
Rural	8	900	40

Lane capacities of links are defined in terms of vehicles per hour per lane (vphpl), and speeds of links are defined in terms of kilometre per hour (km/h).

The existing road network and a complete map showing each road classification are provided Exhibit 2.3 and Exhibit 2.4, respectively.

Exhibit 2.3: Existing Road Network



Exhibit 2.4: Road Classifications



2.3 Zone System

A traffic zone system was developed for the Saint John census metropolitan area. The zones within the City of Saint John were based on census dissemination areas (these are to a higher level of disaggregation than the traffic zones originally developed in MoveSJ Phase 1). Four large dissemination areas were further disaggregated based on the geographical distribution of population and employment centres within those zones.

In total, there are 165 traffic analysis zones (TAZ) within the network as shown in Exhibit 2.5, including 137 zones within the City and 28 zones external to the City but inside the study area. There are also 2 external zones to the study area that represent external trips to and from the study area.

2.4 Superzone System

To assist in aggregating model results for analysis, a superzone system was developed that included an aggregation of contiguous zones with similar population and employment levels. In this system, the 165 traffic zones within the study area were aggregated into 12 superzones. The map of the superzones is shown in Exhibit 2.6.

Exhibit 2.5: Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ) System



Exhibit 2.6: Superzone System Map



2.5 Model Input Data

2.5.1 Model Estimation Dataset

The travel behaviour data for the model estimation was collected in the 2015 household travel survey undertaken in Phase 1 of MoveSJ. This survey collected travel information from 5% of the households within the City of Saint John and 2.5% in the surrounding suburbs. This data was expanded using 2011 and 2016 census information such that the survey data used for the model represents 2016 population.

The survey data has limited socioeconomic attributes such as occupation type of the respondents. To better model the trip type such as a work or school-related trip, the population in each zone was categorized by age group based on the age distribution of the Census Tract. This better represents the types of trips produced from each zone – for example, school trips and work trips are typically generated by a different age group than.

The attraction of trips to a zone is highly correlated to the amount and type of employment within the zone. Each traffic zone was categorized as either predominantly retail or predominantly non-retail employment based on a review of aerial imagery of the land uses within each zone.

2.5.2 Model Application Dataset

To apply the model, the 2016 Census population and employment data at the traffic zone level, as provided by the City of Saint John, was used for the base year. To apply the model for future years, the projected population and employment totals by traffic zone will be used.

The same approach was taken to prepare the model application dataset as in the model estimation dataset – that is, the distribution of the population within each age group and distribution of employment type (retail versus non-retail) were determined.

3 Travel Demand Model Development

3.1 Trip Generation

Trip generation is the first step in developing a travel demand model. This step includes modelling daily productions and attractions from each zone within the study area. For home-based trips, productions are the home end of a trip and attractions are the non-home end of a trip. In the case of non-home-based trips, the terminology used is origins and destinations instead of productions and attractions. Productions and attractions matrices were converted into origin and destination matrices later in the model process.

Trip production and attraction rates were estimated applying regression on the expanded survey data for four trip purposes:

- home-based work (HBW),
- home-based school (HBS),
- home-based other (HBO), and
- non-home-based (NHB).

The modelled production and attraction rates represent one-directional trip rates. Trip generation rates were estimated at the individual level as a function of zonal attributes such as population and employment. The applied variables and their corresponding estimated coefficients are summarized in Exhibit 3.1 and Exhibit 3.2 for trip production and attraction, respectively.

	Populat	tion		Employment				
Trip Purpose	Age >= 20	Age < 25	Age >= 25	Total Population	Retail	Non- retail	Total Employment	
Home-based Work	0.39	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Home-based School	-	0.16	-	-	-	-	-	
Home-based Other	-	-	-	0.71	-	-	-	
Non-home-based	-	-	-	0.11	2.34	0.6	-	

Exhibit 3.1: Trip Produ	ction Estimation	Results
-------------------------	------------------	---------

	Population	Employment		
Trip Purpose	Total Population	Retail	Non-retail	Total Employment
Home-based Work	-	-	-	0.55
Home-based Other	0.36	1.71	-	-
Non-home- based	0.08	1.91	0.06	-

Exhibit 3.2: Trip Attraction Estimation Results

For home-based school trips the base year observed attraction levels are applied. These attraction rates will be scaled up for the future applications of the model, assuming that school trip demand is directly proportional to population growth.

3.2 Trip Distribution

The next stage after trip generation was distribution of the produced trips within the study area based on the estimated zonal attractions. This stage determines the number of daily trips between each pair of zones. Summing up either the produced number of trips from each zone or attracted number of trips to each zone in the study area is representative of the total number of trips. However, these two numbers may not match based on the estimated trip generation coefficients. Therefore, the total number of attractions were balanced to the total number of productions for all four trip purposes (e.g., HBO, HBS, HBO, and NHB), as it was expected that population data (of which production is a function) is more accurate than the employment data (of which attraction is a function).

Trip distribution was done using a Fratar model for all four purposes. In a Fratar model, the base year observed trip distribution is scaled based on the modelled productions and attractions. To resolve the issue of the limited survey sample, which cannot possibly include all trips to and from all traffic zones, a "seed matrix" was synthesized based on observed trip distribution matrices. In the seed matrix, trips were synthesized wherever trips are expected but either not observed or have a low rate.

3.3 Time of Day

Up to this point of the model, trips were generated and distributed in a daily production and attraction level. At this stage, production and attraction matrices were converted into origin and destination matrices for the AM and PM peak periods. For this purpose, time of day static factors were applied to each bound of home-based tours and each NHB trip. These factors were extracted from the expanded survey data based on the percentage of observed trips during the

peak period to the total number of trips of the same purpose. Time of day probabilities for AM and PM peak periods are shown in Exhibit 3.3.

Trip		Time Period		
Type ID	Trip Type Description	AM Peak	PM Peak	Other
1	HBW - Home to Work	61.8%	5.3%	32.9%
2	HBW - Work to Home	1.8%	64.7%	33.6%
5	HBS - Home to School	91.4%	3.0%	5.6%
6	HBS - School to Home	0.0%	25.0%	75.0%
7	HBO - Home to Other	27.3%	23.2%	49.5%
8	HBO - Other to Home	7.4%	29.7%	62.9%
9	NHB	15.9%	25.8%	58.3%

Exhibit 3.3: Time of Day Factors

3.4 Mode Choice

The next step was to estimate the mode split. As travel modes in Saint John are predominantly by private automobile (auto), a static factor was applied for modelling this choice. The probability of choosing auto for travelling between each two super zones was calculated based on the expanded survey data in the peak period and was then disaggregated to the zonal level. The calculated probabilities were multiplied in the AM and PM peak period origin-destination matrices to calculate the number of auto trips between each origin-destination pair.

3.5 Auto Trip Assignment

The last step of the Model involved assigning auto trips to the network. For this purpose, first AM and PM peak period auto trips were converted to AM and PM peak hour trips using peak hour factors calculated from the expanded survey data, as shown in Exhibit 3.4. Conversion of peak periods to peak hour is to model the "peak" or most congested situation of the transportation network. Moreover, lane capacity of the transportation network is reported on a per hour basis. The assignment of peak hour auto trips to the network was done using VISUM, a software platform for traffic analyses and travel demand forecasts.

Exhibit 3.4: AM and PM Peak Hour Factors

Time period	AM (7:30-8:30)	PM (16:30-17:30)
Peak Hour Factor	0.476	0.400

3.5.1 Volume-Delay Functions

The Model uses VISUM's equilibrium assignment procedure which is based on Wardrop's first principle. Based on this principle, every traveller chooses his

route in a manner that travel time on all alternative routes between each origindestination pair is equal and shifting between the routes does not change the travellers' travel time. Using an incremental assignment as the beginning point, the equilibrium is gained in multiple iterations.

Volume-Delay Functions (VDFs) are used to estimate the time it takes for a vehicle to travel the length of the link given a specified capacity, link volume, and free-flow speed. VDFs defined in this model take the industry-standard Bureau of Public Roads (BPR) functional form. The BPR function relates link travel times as a function of the volume-to-capacity ratio as show in equation 1 and 2.

$$t_{i} = t_{0i} [1 + asat_{i}^{b}]$$

$$sat_{i} = \frac{q_{i}}{c.q_{imax}}$$

$$(1)$$

Where:

 t_{0i} = free-flow travel time on link "i"

 q_i = flow on link "i"

 q_{imax} = capacity of link "i"

a = constant, equal to 1

b = constant, equal to 2

C = constant, equal to 1

4 Model Calibration and Validation

4.1 Screenline Analysis

A screenline is an imaginary line on a map composed of one or more straight line segments. Screenline analysis is a method to validate the results of a traffic assignment by comparing the results of the model run with observed traffic count data. In this work, five screenlines and eight key locations were used to validate the Model's assignment results against on available traffic count data.

The screenline locations are shown in Exhibit 4.1 (where the red dashed line represents a screenline). There is no strict standard or threshold for matching the modelled volumes to traffic counts in practice; however, the ratio of modelled and observed volumes provides an indication of the "reasonableness" of the match.



Exhibit 4.1: Screenlines Used for Model Validation

4.2 Base Model Adjustments

The results of the initial model run is compared to the traffic counts at the screenlines. The initial base model is then calibrated to better fit the traffic counts. The incremental adjustments used to calibrate the model are reviewed below:

- Base Run 1 Decreasing the speed on freeways and increasing the speed on local links to match the count volumes on the links, as the model over-assigns demand to the highways.
- Base Run 2 Increasing the production rates for all zones by 10% to better match the observed total volumes crossing the screenlines.
- Base Run 3 Increasing the attraction of the downtown superzone and the superzone containing oil refinery site for home-based work trips to better match the observed commute demand to those superzones.

4.3 Origin-Destination Matrix Estimation

The last step in calibrating the Model to better match the observed traffic counts was to estimate the origin-destination matrix. An origin-destination matrix estimation (ODME) involves updating the model-generated origin-destination assignment matrix to a new matrix that is consistent with observed traffic counts.

The upper and lower bound adjustment was capped at 10% and increased incrementally. With allowance for a 20% and 30% ODME for AM and PM peak hours, the model results appears to significantly improve and reflect actual traffic counts. An ODME "adjustment matrix" was developed based on the estimated origin-destination matrix. For this purpose, the differences between the model-generated and ODME estimated origin-destination matrices were found at the 12 by 12 superzone level and was disaggregated to the traffic zone level by weighting the zones within each two superzones based on the number of trips between them. The adjustment is implied on the modelled origin-destination matrix and the assignment is re-run on the adjusted matrix. In this manner, the modelled origin-destination matrix is incrementally adjusted taking advantage of the previous steps of the model assignment.

The modelled AM traffic volumes are shown in Exhibit 4.2 and the resulting volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratio on the road network is presented in Exhibit 4.3. The screenline analysis and spot validation results are shown in Exhibit 4.4 to Exhibit 4.7 for AM and PM peak hours.

Exhibit 4.2: Modelled AM Volumes



Exhibit 4.3: Volume Capacity (V/C) Ratio



Exhibit 4.4: Screenline Analysis for AM Peak Hour

			Count			Model			Model/C	Count
			SB /	NB /		SB /	NB /		SB /	NB /
ID	Roadway	Location	EB	WB	Total	EB	WB	Total	EB	WB
	Harbour Bridge	East of Market Place I/C	1,550	1,110	2,660	1,992	1,162	3,154	1.29	1.05
1	Reversing Falls	East of Lancaster Ave	1,018	440	1,458	897	597	1,494	0.88	1.36
	Bridge									
	Screenline Total		2,568	1,550	4,118	2,889	1,759	4,648	1.12	1.13
	Hilyard Street	East of Chesley Drive	356	249	605	448	318	767	1.26	1.28
2	Main Street	East of Metcalf Street	462	852	1,314	496	419	914	1.07	0.49
2	Somerset Street	North of Paradise Row	528	608	1,136	482	705	1,187	0.91	1.16
	Screenline Total		1,346	1,709	3,055	1,426	1,442	2,868	1.06	0.84
	Seely Street	West of Route 1 Ramps	182	845	1,027	186	932	1,118	1.02	1.10
	Route 1	East of Gilbert Street I/C	920	1,637	2,557	886	1,699	2,585	0.96	1.04
	Rothesay Avenue	West of Russell Street	257	535	792	244	617	861	0.95	1.15
3	Thorne Avenue	East of Rothesay Ave	250	456	706	496	759	1,255	1.98	1.66
	Courtenay Bay	West of Bayside Drive	610	937	1,547	523	758	1,281	0.86	0.81
	Causeway									
	Screenline Total		2,219	4,410	6,629	2,335	4,765	7,100	1.05	1.08
	Rothesay Avenue	East of Retail Drive	440	845	1,285	306	1,046	1,352	0.70	1.24
	Westmorland Road	West of Consumers Dr	293	376	669	187	552	738	0.64	1.47
4	Loch Lomond Road	West of McAllister Drive	320	875	1,195	388	869	1,257	1.21	0.99
	Champlain Drive	South of Loch Lomond Rd	324	312	636	396	166	562	1.22	0.53
	Screenline Total		1,377	2,408	3,785	1,277	2,632	3,908	0.93	1.09
	Foster Thurston Road	Foster Thurston Road	146	738	884	288	621	909	1.97	0.84
	Route 1	Route 1	470	2,720	3,190	780	2,623	3,403	1.66	0.96
5	Rothesay Avenue	Rothesay Avenue	456	1,134	1,590	347	1,074	1,421	0.76	0.95
	Golden Grove Road	Golden Grove Road	290	264	554	120	401	521	0.41	1.52
	Screenline Total		1,362	4,856	6,218	1,535	4,718	6,254	1.13	0.97
	Overall Total		8,872	14,933	23,805	9,462	15,316	24,778	1.07	1.03

Exhibit 4.5 Screenline Analysis for PM Peak Hour

			Count			Model			Model/C	ount
			SB /	NB /		SB /	NB /		SB /	NB /
ID	Roadway	Location	EB	WB	Total	EB	WB	Total	EB	WB
	Harbour Bridge	East of Market Place I/C	1,330	1,920	3,250	1,525	2,253	3,778	1.15	1.17
1	Reversing Falls Bridge	East of Lancaster Avenue	600	808	1,408	668	920	1,588	1.11	1.14
	Screenline Total		1,930	2,728	4,658	2,193	3,174	5,366	1.14	1.16
	Hilyard Street	East of Chesley Drive	480	290	770	407	532	940	0.85	1.84
2	Main Street	East of Metcalf Street	527	827	1,354	480	730	1,210	0.91	0.88
2	Somerset Street	North of Paradise Row	747	511	1,258	516	829	1,345	0.69	1.62
	Screenline Total		1,754	1,628	3,382	1,403	2,092	3,495	0.80	1.28
	Seely Street	West of Route 1 Ramps	435	405	840	271	464	735	0.62	1.14
	Route 1	East of Gilbert Street I/C	2,277	973	3,250	2,389	1,048	3,436	1.05	1.08
	Rothesay Avenue	West of Russell Street	608	507	1,115	657	310	966	1.08	0.61
3	Thorne Avenue	East of Rothesay Avenue	645	377	1,022	892	640	1,531	1.38	1.70
	Courtenay Bay	West of Bayside Drive	946	706	1,652	732	686	1,417	0.77	0.97
	Causeway									
	Screenline Total		4,911	2,968	7,879	4,940	3,146	8,086	1.01	1.06
	Rothesay Avenue	East of Retail Drive	927	598	1,525	841	508	1,349	0.91	0.85
	Westmorland Road	West of Consumers Drive	799	686	1,485	459	318	777	0.57	0.46
Δ	Loch Lomond Road	West of McAllister Drive	1,004	486	1,490	796	638	1,434	0.79	1.31
	Champlain Drive	South of Loch Lomond	302	385	687	248	375	623	0.82	0.97
	Screenline Total	Road	3 032	2 155	5 187	2 344	1 839	4 184	0 77	0.85
	Foster Thurston Road	Foster Thurston Road	550	175	725	655	209	864	1 19	1 19
	Route 1	Route 1	2 320	630	2 950	2 717	1 183	3 901	1.10	1.10
5	Rothesay Avenue	Rothesay Avenue	1 1 3 6	700	1 026	2,717	59/	1 / 30	0.74	0.75
5	Colden Grove Road	Golden Grove Road	219	567	785	2040	261	655	1 81	0.75
	Screenline Total		A 224	2 162	6 3 8 6	1 611	201	6 850	1.01	1.04
			4,224	11 6/1	27 /02	15 /01	12 /00	27 000	0.09	1.04
			15,051	11,041	21,492	13,491	12,499	21,990	0.90	1.07

IBI GROUP FINAL REPORT

Travel Demand Forecasting Model Development Prepared for City of Saint John

Exhibit 4.6: Spot Validation for AM Peak Hour

		Count			Model			Model/Count	
		SB /	NB /		SB /	NB /		SB /	NB /
Roadway	Location	EB	WB	Total	EB	WB	Total	EB	WB
Bay Street	East of Station Road	109	98	207	91	70	162	0.84	0.72
NB Route 7	South of Route 177	879	414	1,293	1,103	498	1,601	1.25	1.20
NB Route 1	East of Route 7	1,220	730	1,950	1,316	787	2,103	1.08	1.08
Manawagonish Road	West of Fairville Boulevard	501	228	729	535	202	737	1.07	0.89
Crown Street	North of Union Street	1,034	580	1,614	740	289	1,029	0.72	0.50
Bayside Drive	South of Loch Lomond Road	659	417	1,076	462	245	707	0.70	0.59
Bayside Drive	West of Red Head Road	734	544	1,278	561	591	1,152	0.76	1.09
NB Route 1	East of Route 100	300	3,100	3,400	584	2,753	3,338	1.95	0.89
Overall Total		5,436	6,111	11,547	5,393	5,436	10,829	0.99	0.89

Exhibit 4.7: Spot Validation for PM Peak Hour

		Count			Model			Model/C	ount
		SB /	NB /		SB /	NB /		SB /	NB /
Count Location	Location	EB	WB	Total	EB	WB	Total	EB	WB
Bay Street	East of Station Road	199	199	398	95	172	267	0.48	0.86
NB Route 7	South of Route 177	409	809	1,218	616	1247	1,863	1.51	1.54
NB Route 1	East of Route 7	905	959	1,864	1,000	1,397	2,397	1.10	1.46
Manawagonish Road	West of Fairville Boulevard	344	571	915	379	436	816	1.10	0.76
Crown Street	North of Union Street	636	1,139	1,775	449	737	1,186	0.71	0.65
Bayside Drive	South of Loch Lomond Road	467	708	1,175	288	546	834	0.62	0.77
Bayside Drive	West of Red Head Road	537	647	1,184	605	694	1,300	1.13	1.07
NB Route 1	East of Route 100	2,780	730	3,510	2,902	1,171	4,073	1.04	1.60
Overall Total		6,277	5,762	12,039	6,336	6,400	12,736	1.01	1.11

5 Summary

This report summarizes the development of the Saint John Travel Demand Model undertaken as part of MoveSJ, the City of Saint John's Transportation Strategic Plan. The model was developed primarily as a tool for the final phase of MoveSJ to forecast and assess future travel demand and develop a comprehensive transportation system improvement plan for the City of Saint John.

Beyond MoveSJ, the model is a tool that can assist the City in its day-to-day transportation planning and growth management needs. For example, the model can be used to identify needs for new infrastructure or transit services, assess the transportation impacts of new land development growth, and develop solutions to accommodate changing travel behaviours and modal shifts.